INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bangalore regional office

	Mine :	file No : KNT/CTD/MN/1	35,	BNG Mir	ne code :	40KAR07059
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	A101) ARUN KUMAR		
	(ii)	Designation	:	Deputy Controller Mines		
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	1)Gururaja- Mine Manager		
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	13/10/2017		
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	11/08/2015		
		PAF	۲۲-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION		
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	SHIVAGANGA ML 2453		
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/5318/2011		
	(c)	Category	:	A Other than Fully Mech.		
	(d) (e)	Type of Working Postal address	:	Opencast		
		State	:	KARNATAKA		
		District	:	CHITRADURGA		
		Village	:			
		Taluka	:			
		Post office	:			
		Pin Code	:			
		FAX NO. E-mail	:	080-22440795 (F)		
		Phone	:	mail@ermgroup.in; ermgrou} 080-26655930, 9449842341		
	(f)	Police Station		Holalkere		
	(g)	First opening date		16/12/1977		
	(h)	Weekly day of rest	:	SUN		
2.		ess for	:	M/s Alfa Minerals		
	corre	espondance		No59, 12th Main, Banashankar		
				1st Block, Srinagar, Bangalore	560 050)
3.	(a)	Lease Number	:	KAR0386		
	(b)	Lease area	:	23.47		
	(c)	Period of lease	:			
	(d)	Date of Expiry	:			
4.	Miner	ral worked	:	MANGANESE ORE Main		

5. Name and Address of the

Termination date

Lessee	:	ALFA MINERALS.
		NO-59, 12TH MAIN Banashankari 1st stage, 1st block Srinagar-560 050 BANGALORE KARNATAKA Phone:080-26655930 FAX :080-22440795
Owner	:	R.PRAVEEN CHANDRA, MD M/s ALFA MINERALS, NO-59, 12TH MAIN BANASHANKARI, 1ST STAGE, 1ST BLOCK, SRINAGAR 560 050 BANGALORE KARNATAKA

FAX : 080-22440795 Mining Engineer Name : DHANANJAYA . G.REDDY,Full Time Qualification : B.E (MINING), GM MINES Appointment/ : 01/04/2008 Termination date Manager Name : GURURAJ Qualification : Diploma in Mining with 2nd Class MM Appointment/ : 01/04/2014

Phone: 080-26655930

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 11/09/2012 31/03/2017

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	No proposal for exploration	Nil	No Proposal of exploration as per approved Scheme of Mining.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	G1 & G2	G1 & G2	Total mineralized area is 1.48 Ha only.
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Nil	Nil	Exploration was carried out In- house. An amount of Rs.12.84 lakhs has been spent on exploration.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Nil	Nil	Extent of the area to be explored is all agricultural lands with no sign of outcrops or reef exposures.
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20		Cat. 111: 19676 tonnes	Mn% 22.6 to 27.7
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			No proposal of exploration. Total mineralized area is only 1.48 Ha. & the same is explored by the lessee with G1 stage exploration during 2012-13.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	From N5000- 5180 to E4900-5020 RL794-782m	From N5000-5180 to E4900-5020 RL794-782m	
2b	I · ,	Top Soil -Nil Over Burden Benches to be maintained Separately	Working Pit area is devoid of top soil. Over Burden Benches - Separate maintained	Complied

2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:3.74	1:3.10	There is no significant change in ratio.Higher recovery.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No proposal	Nil	Mining area is devoid of any topsoil
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3		15501 Tons	
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			Development work was carried out as per proposal with stripping ratio of 1:3.10.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Single Pit	Single Pit 150X120X18m	Complied
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	20350 tons	19980 tons	
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	5088 tons(25%)	4992tons(28% recovery)	Subgrade is blended with higher grade material & sold.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No Proposal	Not Applicable	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Threshold value 10% Mn	Nil	No mineral reject generation during 2016-17.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	250 tons	250 tons	Low grade Manganese Fines of <10-12 % blended and Sold
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	10- 15 % Mn	10-12 % Mn	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No Proposal	NA	
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	No Proposal	NA	Manual Mining is done
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	10 tonner trucks engaged for transportation of waste materials	Waste loaded into trucks manually & transported to the dumping sites.	As per proposal
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Bench Height- 3meters in Ore and Waste	Regular benches of height 2 to 3m & width 4 to 5m	
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	1.55 ha	1.55 ha	complied
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1: 3.74	1:3.10	No significant change.Higher recovery
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Mining Area- 1.55 ha Dumps- 1.42 ha Infrastructure , building: 0.510 Ha Other purposes; 19.99 Ha	As per proposal	
Зр	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2012-13-5088 tons 2013-14-5033 tons 2014-15-5088 tons 2015-16-5060 tons 2016-17-5088 tons	2012-13-3725 tons 2013-14-4784 tons 2014-15-4960 tons 2015-16-4864 tons 2016-17-4992 tons	Production was within the proposed limits

3q General remarks
of inspecting
officers on
method of mining
etc.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

No deviation w.r.t Exploitation is observed during the course of MCDR inspection dated 13.10.2017

Sl.No. Actual work Remarks Item Propasals 4a Separate dumping Top Soil- No Separate dump of OB Complied of topsoil, OB Proposal available and mineral OB- Dumping of rejects (Rule waste 32, 33)separately 4b Location of Dumping area is OB dump-OB dumptopsoil, OB and N5180-5280 N5100-5190 not as per the proposal. mineral reject E5040-5170 E5040-5080 dumps RL791-804 Violation pointed out 4c Number of dumps 3 dumps Within 3 dumps Within lease. within lease Outside lease-Nil lease. area and outside Outside leaseof lease area Nil 4d Location of Active Dump is Active Dump is outside dumps w.r.t. outside UPL UPL ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) 4e Number of active Active-1 dump Active-1 dump and alive dumps. Number of dead 4f There are 2 in-No Proposal Two dumps dumps. active dumps which have been planted with native species and protection measures like retaining wall and earthen garland drain (trenches) has been made all around the dump. Number of dumps No Proposal Two dumps stabilized 2 old dumps have 4g established. been stabilized. 4h Whether No Proposal Yes, constructed Yes. Retaining Retaining wall wall and Garland or garland drain drain has been all along dumps made. Retaining are there. wall-262 meters, Earthen Garland drain-418 meters

4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	-	70 meters of retaining wall and 300 meters of earthen drain (trenches) has been made	Retaining wall-262 meters. Earthen drain-418 meters
4j	Number of settling ponds	No Proposal	Nil	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			The location of the OB dump is not as per the proposal. Two old inactive dumps have been stabilized. Retaining walls with Earthen Garland drain have been constructed

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No Proposal	Not applicable	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No Proposal	NA	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No Proposal	NA	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No Proposal	Not applicable	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			None of the areas of mined out pits were matured for backfilling due to occurrence of mineral at depth.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes.	Annual report of PMCP submitted on time.	complied
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No Proposal	NA	Single mine pit, no benches are available for rehabilitation
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	No proposal	Not applicable	No area is available for backfilling as the mineral persist at depth
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	within lease area-210 numbers	615 saplings planted on dumps. And 1265 Saplings planted in existing a green cover.	Plantation as Gap plantation within existing vegetated dump and green belt area.
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Ground water	One Season AAQM Ground Water Quality of Core Zone has been carried out and Inhouse Noise Monitoring carried Out.	complied
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			Plantation as Gap plantation within existing vegetated dump and green belt area was done within the Mining Lease area. PMCP activities were carried out as per the approved plan and plantation done.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Yes,manual sorting proposed	4992 MT Manganese ore produced. Dispatches - 9884 tonnes inclusive of previous year closing balance.	complied

7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual	Manual Method of grade- wise mineral sorting	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Grade wise stocking proposed.	< 25% of Manganese ore produced and sold. Avg grade of manganese ore produced during the year is 16-17% Mn.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No proposal	Manual Sorting, breaking and Screening.	complied
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			Conservation of mineral is done. No beneficiation is done as it is not found to be necessary. Sizing & Sorting is done manually.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	No Proposal	Not applicable	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No Proposal	Not applicable	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	OB to be stacked separately	overburden stacked separately	complied
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use		Not applicable	Mineral is not found exhausted in the working pits

8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Will be complied during Final Mine Closure	Not applicable	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Baseline information mentioned about existence of dry deciduous shrubs, bushes & occasional tree growth in the ML area. 210 saplings were proposed within ML area		Green Belt area- 7.49 Ha Plantations on dumps-0.8 ha
8g	Survival rate	Not specified	40%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	No proposal	Dust suppression carried out by deploying water tanker on haul road.	complied
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			Entire ML is non forest revenue land with thin to moderate vegetation. Aesthetic environment is not affected by this small scale manual mining operation The greenery around the mine is maintained. Plantation have been done with 40% survival rate. Gap plantation on dumps covering 0.8 Ha.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns		M.R. Submitted up to - September-2017 A.R. Submitted up to FY 2016-17.	

9b Scrutiny of Mining Engineer, Manager-Annual return Gururaja, Geologist and Manager as for information Mining per the given details on Mining Engineer-Dhananjaya G. Engineer, Geologist and Reddy, (Prt Manager Time) Geologist -Deepak C.A(Part Time) 9c Scrutiny of Mining Area-Appeared to be correct Annual return on 1.55 ha land use pattern Dumps- 1.42 ha for area under Infrastructure pits, reclaimed , building: area, dumps etc. 0.510 Ha Other purposes; 19.99 Ha 9d Scrutiny of 1880 saplings Appeared to be correct Annual return on planted within afforestation lease area with 40% Survival Rate. Outside lease area: 1855 saplings with 35% Survival Rate 9e Scrutiny of Nil Nil Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Scrutiny of Opening Stock: Appeared to be correct Annual return on Nil, Production ROM stock and/or :4992 Tons, graded ore Closing Stock: Nil 9g Rs.1952.52/ton Appeared to be correct Scrutiny of Annual return on - Avg Sale sale value, Ex. Value for the Mine price and FY. Rs.783.87/tonproduction cost Cost of Production 9h Scrutiny of Rs. 1219638 Appeared to be correct Annual return on fixed assets

PAGE : 12

9k Scrutiny of Not Applicable Manual Mine Annual return on mining machineries

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out							
	Violation observed				Show couse position		
Rule 1	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	10/11/2017	02/01/2018				
MCDR17	Rule 14(2)	10/11/2017	02/01/2018				

Date :

(ARUN KUMAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines